

United States Patent and Trademark Office

JO.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/648,642 | 08/27/2003 | Alessio Fasano | A-8630 | 3623 |
| 22907 | 7590 11/17/20 | 4 | EXAMINER | |
| BANNER & WITCOFF 1001 G STREET N W | | | SNEDDEN, SHERIDAN | |
| SUITE 1100 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHING | ON, DC 20001 | | 1653 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 10/648,642 | FASANO, ALESSIO |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Sheridan K Snedden | 1653 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | | the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption of thirty (s. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (reperiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH (s. a statute, cause the application to become ABA) e mailing date of this communication, even if time the communication of this communication of this communication of this action is non-final. | oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). nely filed, may reduce any TS, prosecution as to the merits is |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 3-15 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | wn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co | accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance prrection is required if the drawing(s) | . See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a | ments have been received. ments have been received in Appl priority documents have been rec ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | lication No ceived in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's cancellation of claim 2 and addition of new claims 4-28 is acknowledged. Claims 1 and 3-28 are pending.
- 2. Applicant's election of invention III, directed to a method of treating a condition associated with the breakdown of the blood brain barrier is acknowledged. Claim 1 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made with traverse in Paper filed 10/7/2004. The restriction requirement is deemed proper as the peptide of Group I can be used in the method of Group III, as argued by the applicant on page 4 of the response dated 10/7/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 16-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant is also referred to the Guidelines on Written Description published at FR 66(4) 1099-1111 (January 5, 2001) (also available at www.uspto.gov). The following passage is particularly relevant.

The written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant identifying characteristics, i.e. structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, by functional

Application/Control Number: 10/648,642

Art Unit: 1653

Page 3

characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between structure and function structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show the applicant was in possession of the claimed genus. A "representative number of species" means that the species which are adequately described are representative of the entire genus. Thus, when there is substantial variation within a genus, one must describe a sufficient number of species to reflect the variation within the genus. What constitutes a "representative number" is an inverse function of the skill and knowledge in the art. Satisfactory disclosure of a "representative number" depends on whether one of skill in the art would recognize that applicant was in possession of the necessary common attributes or features of the elements possessed by the members of the genus in view of the species disclosed. In an unpredictable art, adequate written description of a genus which embraces widely variant species cannot be achieved by disclosing only one species within the genus.

4. The claims are directed to antagonist peptides comprising a sequence of 8 residues that bind to a zonula occludens toxin receptor. A review of the full content of the specification teaches a series of 26 peptides comprising 8 amino acid residues with the ability of binding to a zonula occludens toxin receptor (see SEQ ID NO: 1-24, 34-35). However, there are no examples provided as to the effect that any substitution would have on the function of the peptide, nor does the specification describe the range of variation that exist in the peptide formula of the claims. For instance, only Gly is taught at position 8. A comparison of the amino acid sequence of these peptides reveals a genus supported by the specification, that may be defined, for example, as follows (see attached Figure 1 for a sequence comparison):

X1-X2-X3-X4-X5-X6-X7-X8, where

X1 is Gly or Val, X2 is Arg, Gly, or Asp, X3 is Val, Leu, or Gly, X4 is Cys, Leu or Phe, X5 is Val or Gly, X6 is Gln or Arg, X7 is Pro, Asp or Ile, X8 is Gly.

In light of these considerations, applicant does not have possession of all variant peptide antagonist which are claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,642

Art Unit: 1653

Page 4

Conclusion

5. Claims 3-15 are in condition for allowance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheridan K Snedden whose telephone number is (571) 272-0959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on (571) 272-0925. The fax phone number for regular communications to the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SKS

November 10, 2004

SKS

JON WEBER
JPERVISORY PATENT EVALUE